and Grant Technical Report No. 8, MAY88

PREEMERGENCE HERBICIDES TRIAL

DACTHAL, KARMEX, AND TREFLAN

Nonumaifale Tuisamoa Student, ASCC

Don Vargo Soil Specialist

Land Grant Program, American Samoa Community College, American Samoa Government, P.O. Box 2609, Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799

ABSTRACT

Most information regarding the effectiveness of herbicides comes from studies conducted in temperate climates. Weed response to herbicides in a humid tropical climate is not completely understood. Three preemergence herbicides--Dacthal, Karmex, and Treflan--were studdetermine their levels of weed control ied to in the humid tropical environment of American Samoa. Square meter plots were sprayed with Dacthal at 15.7 kg/ha, Karmex at 7.0 kg/ha, a combination of Dacthal and Karmex at the above rates, and Treflan at 2.3 L/ha. After 84 to 88 d, grasses and broadleaf weeds were harvested. dried, and weighed to determine their dry matter production. Plots treated with Dacthal, Karmex, Dacthal/Karmex, and Treflan produced means of 104.8, 4.1, 5.4, and 80.5 g, respectively, of grass dry matter compared to 173.1 g for a control plot, and 38.8, 2.4, 2.6, and 34.8 g of broadleaf weed dry matter compared to 27.9 g for a control plot. Dacthal and Treflan gave poor control of weeds. This may be due to the high rainfall and temperatures of the American Samoa climate. Karmex, used alone or in combination with Dacthal, gave superior control of both grasses and broadleaf weeds.

Weeds have been the abiding companion of crops since the inception of agriculture. They compete with crops for water, nutrients, light, gases, and space. Unlike most other pests whose populations may vary widely and change rapidly, weeds are omnipresent and relatively constant

In developing countries, farmers spend the majority of their labors in controlling weeds, while losses from weeds 'in the United States are greater than those from either insects or plant diseases (Burnside, 1979).

Most herbicides are developed for weed species growing in temperate climates. Their effectiveness on weeds growing in the humid tropics is not thoroughly understood. Because weed contro is most efficiently and economically accomplished before weeds become established, the purpose of this study is to test the effectiveness of three preemergence herbicides for controlling weeds in American Samoa

MATERIALS AND METHODS

American Samoa comprises five volcanic islands and two coral atolls in the South Pacific. Tutuila, the largest island and site of this study, is located around 14°17'S and 170°40'W. The mean daily temperature seldom deviates from 27°C throughout the year, and about 3200 mm of rain falls annually (Nakamura, 1984). In February 1988, a 3 m x 5 m freshly tilled and cleaned field was divided into three adjacent blocks with five 1 m x 1 plots per block. The soil was a stony clay dystrandept, pH 5.8. Each of four preemergence herbicide treatments--Dacthal W-75 (Diamond Shamrock) at 15.7 kg/ha, Karmex 80% WP (Du Pont) at 7 kg/ha, a combination of the wettable powders, Dacthal and Karmex, at the above rates, and Treflan 4EC (Elanco) at 2.3 L/ha--and a control representing no herbicide treatment were assigned plots in accordance with the Randomized Complete Block Design. (The rates are upper limits suggested on herbicide labels or in the literature.) The herbicides were applied using 2 L of spray per plot. Following label instructions, Treflan was further incorporated into the soil by raking, and the other herbicide treatments were washed into the soil by sprinkling the field with about 15 mm of water.

For this study weeds were divided into two groups: broadleaf weeds and grasses. About 99% of the broadleaf weeds were <u>Phyl-</u> <u>lanthus amarus</u> Sch. & Thon., a widely distributed weed native to tropical America Whistler, 1983). <u>Cuphea carthaginensis</u> (Jacq.) MacBr. was present at about 1%. Three grasses dominated in about equal number: <u>Echinochloa colona</u> (L.) Link, <u>Paspalum conjugatum</u> Berg., and <u>Digitaria setigera</u> Roth ex R. & S.

After 84 d and 1135 mm of rain, the weeds of one block were uprooted, separated by group, washed, chopped into 20 to 40 mm segments, and dried at 80° C until no change in weight was observed (about 6 to 24 h, depending on the volume of weeds The

dry weight of each group per plot was recorded Weeds_from the other two blocks were treated similarly at 86 d and 88 d

Data were analyzed using the MSUSTAT Statistical Analysis Package (Lund, 1986) on an IBM-compatible computer

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The three herbicides of this study are recommended for a variety of crops grown in American Samoa (Table 1). All three contain a phenyl ring, halogens, and are of relatively low toxicity to mammals (Fig. 1); but each belongs to a different chemical class with a particular mode of action (Table 2).

Because crop yield loss is correlated with dry matter production of weeds, not weed numbers (Burnside, 1979 this method was used to compare the effectiveness of the herbicides (Table 3 The dry weight data are not amenable to analysis of variance (ANOVA because their variances are not homogeneous according to Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variances (Little and Hills, 1978) This absence of homogeneity is due to a positive correlation between means and variances, that is, the larger the mean the larger the variance. Therefore, the data were transformed by taking the logrithm of the dry weights after multiplication by 10 to avoid negative logrithms Table 4). Bartlett's Test shows homogeneity of variances for the logrithm-transformed broadleaf weed data and the total weed data, but not for the grass data.

The dry weight of grasses for each treatment was divided by the dry weight of grasses in the control plot of each block.

This gave a fraction of grass weeds for each treatment relative to the dry weight of grasses n the control plots. When an arcsin transformation was done on the square-root of each fraction the data satisfied Bartlett's Test but gave strong positive cor relation of means with variances (r = 0.99).

ANOVA were performed for logrithm-transformed broadleaf weed data and total weed data (Table 4), but not for grass weed data. However, it seems clear from Table 3 that Karmex was the best herbicide for controlling the dry matter production of both broadleaf weeds and grasses. Yet of the weeds in this study, only <u>Echinochloa</u> is among those documented as controlled by Karmex (Anonymous, 1986).

Dacthal is documented as active against annual grasses and some broadleaf weeds (Ashton and Crafts, 1981). But when applied in combination with Karmex, Dacthal did not enhance weed control compared to Karmex used alone. Dacthal did not control broadleaf weeds or total weeds at a 5% level of significance any better than did the control plot with no herbicide treatment (Table 4). This may be expected if Dacthal was rapidly lost from the soil. The ester moieties of Dacthal are subject to acid catalyzed hy drolysis in the weakly acidic soil environment, possibly rendering Dacthal susceptible to leaching. With the elevated soil temperatures and high rainfall in American Samoa, this may have occurred.

Treflan is a popular herbicide in temperate climates for the control of Digitaria spp., Echinochloa colona, other grasses, and

several broadleaf weeds (Anonymous, 1986). Its hydrophobicity and lack of potentially hydrolyzable sidechains should minimize its leaching beyond the upper few centimeters of soil where most weed seeds germinate. But Treflan is the most volatile of the three herbicides. This is why it must be quickly incorporated into the soil following application.) Its unremarkable level of weed control is probably due to increased volatility under a tropical sun

CONCLUSIONS

Though they offer good weed control in a temperate climate, Dacthal and Treflan may be of limited use in the humid tropics Dacthal may, perhaps, persist longer in neutral tropical soils where hydrolysis of its ester groups would occur at a minimal rate, but such soils are uncommon. The volatility of Treflan is a more difficult problem to circumvent. Treflan may be a viable herbicide in the tropics only at higher elevations

Karmex is best of the three herbicides for controlling both broadleaf weeds and grasses in American Samoa. It affords up to 90 d protection under conditions of high rainfall and temperature, ample time for most crops to establish a canopy to shade out latent weeds. Karmex is not recommended, however, for many vegetable crops grown in American Samoa Table 1).

Established weeds must be eliminated before treating a soil with Karmex. This may be because its effect on sprouted plants is negligible. If, therefore, a susceptible crop is transplanted

rather than sown into a clean field treated with Karmex, it might grow normally, especially if its roots are placed beneath the layer of soil treated with Karmex. Such a study is underway to determine any yield effect when broccoli is transplanted to a field treated with Karmex^{*}. Taro, because it is grown from suckers and not from seeds, might also be unaffected by Karmex while benefiting from its excellent weed control. Another study will be conducted to test this hypothesis.

Karmex provides up to a year of weed control in temperate climates (Thomson, 1983). Observation of the plots of this study will continue to determine how long the weed control effects of Karmex persist under humid tropical conditions.

*During this study a crop susceptible to Karmex inhibition, Pak Choy Chinese cabbage, was transplanted to each plot, but all Pak Choy plants inexplicably died within days. Pak Choy was then seeded in the plots. A few seeds germinated in each plot, but again all plants quickly died.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 1986. Crop Protection Chemicals Reference. 2nd ed. Chemical and Pharmaceutical Press, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Ashton, F.M. and A.S. Crafts. 1981. Mode of Action of Herbicides. ^{2nd} ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Burnside, O.C. 1979. Weeds. p. 27-38. <u>In</u> W.B. Ennis, Jr. (ed.) Introduction to Crop Protection. <u>American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Madison, WI.</u>
- Little, T.M. and F.J. Hills. 1978. Agricultural Experimentation Design and Analysis. John Wiley and Sons, NY.
- Lund, R.E. 1986. MSUSTAT Statistical Analysis Package. Research and Development Institute Inc., Bozeman, MT.
- Nakamura, S. 1984. Soil Survey of American Samoa. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.
- Page, B.G. and W.T. Thomson. 1983. The Insecticide, Herbicide Fungicide Quick Guide. Thomson Publications, Fresno, CA.
- Thomson, W.T. 1983. Agricultural Chemicals--Book II Herbicides. Thomson Publications, Fresno, CA.
- Waré, G.W. 1978. Pesticides: Theory and Application. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, CA.
- Whistler, W.A. 1983. Weed Handbook of Western Polynesia. Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH, Eschborn, W-Germany.

Table 1. Crops grown in American Samoa for which the three preemergence herbicides are registered (Page and Thomson, 1983).

		DACTHAL	KARMEX	TREFLAN	
Banana			x		
Brocco	li	x		x	
Citrus			x		
Cabbag	e	x		x	
Corn		x		x	
Cucumb	er	x		x	
Eggpla	nt	x			
Lettuc	e	x			
Okra				x	
Union		x		x	
Papaya			x		
Pepper		x		х	
Pineap	ple		x		
Sweet	potato	x			
Tomato		x	x	x	
Fable 2.	Modes 1981.	of action and Ware.	of the her 1978).	bicides (Ashto	n and Crafts,
Table 2.	Modes 1981,	of action and Ware,	of the her 1978).	bicides (Ashto	n and Crafts,
Table 2. Dacthal	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with-
Fable 2. Dacthal	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and
Table 2. Dacthal	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs.
Table 2. Dacthal	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs.
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts.	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn-
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub-
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis.	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis.	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex Treflan	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos Readil slight	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis. y absorbed	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only ts. It limits
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex Treflan	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos Readil slight	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis. y absorbed ly translo rowth. esp	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox by both r cated to o	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing coots and shoot ther plant par	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only ts. It limits s. It stimu-
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex Treflan	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos Readil slight root g lates	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis. y absorbed ly translo rowth, esp increased	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox by both r cated to o ecially th	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing coots and shoot ther plant par e lateral root	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only ts. It limits s. It stimu- ids in both
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex Treflan	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos Readil slight root g lates roots	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis. y absorbed ly translo rowth, esp increased and shoots	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox by both r cated to o ecially th production . It unce	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing coots and shoot ther plant par e lateral root of nucleic ac	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only ts. It limits s. It stimu- ids in both e phosphoryla-
Table 2. Dacthal Karmex Treflan	Modes 1981, Readil Produc action out ce modifi Readil to the is the thesis stance photos Readil slight root g lates roots	of action and Ware, y absorbed es auxinli : cell elo ll divisio ed arrange y absorbed upper pla inhibitio . It is t is formed ynthesis. y absorbed ly translo rowth, esp increased and shoots nd inhibit	of the her 1978). by the ro ke growth ngation an n, induced ment of le by the ro nt parts. n of the H heorized t in the ox by both r cated to o ecially th production . It unco	bicides (Ashto ots, but not t effects that p d tissue proli adventitious aves and other ots and rapidl The primary s ill reaction o hat a secondar ygen-releasing coots and shoot ther plant par e lateral root of nucleic ac puples oxidativ	n and Crafts, ranslocated. ersist in thei feration with- roots, and organs. y translocated ite of action f photosyn- y toxic sub- pathway of s but only ts. It limits s. It stimu- ids in both e phosphoryla- ral hormone-

	able 3. Mean gras herb	s and s ndard ses and roadle icide t atment	rrors for the f weeds on 1 i and a contro	t production of prayed with four
		DR Y	WEIGHT (g) Mean + S	SE
	TREATMENT B	ROADLEAF WEEDS	GRASSES	TOTAL WEEDS
	Karmex	2.4 + 0.5	4.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	6.5 + 2.0
	Dacthal/Karmex	2.6 + 0.3	5.4 <u>+</u> 2.9	8.0 + 3.2
2271	Dacthal	38.8 <u>+</u> 4.5	104.8 <u>+</u> 6.6	143.6 <u>+</u> 6.9
	Tref an	34.8 + 3.6	80.5 + 25.5	115.3 <u>+</u> 27.4
	Control	27.9 + 2.0	173.1 <u>+</u> 39.2	200.9 ± 41.1

Table 4. Logrithm transformation of dry weight data of Table 3. The dry weights were first multiplied by 10 before being transformed.

12					
		log(10 *	DRY WEIGHT)	Mean <u>+</u> SE	
í.	TREATMENT B	ROADLEAF WEEDS	G R A S S E S [*]	TOTAL	WEEDS
1	Karmex	1.35 <u>+</u> 0.10a	1.37 <u>+</u> 0.40	1.77	<u>+</u> 0.14a
	Dacthal/Karmex	1.41 + 0.06a	1.40 + 0.49	1.80	+ 0.23a
	Dacthal	2.58 <u>+</u> 0.05b	3.02 <u>+</u> 0.02	3.16	+ 0.02b
	Treflan	2.54 + 0.06b	2.85 <u>+</u> 0.16	3.03	+ 0.12b
	Control	2.44 <u>+</u> 0.03b	3.21 + 0.11	3.28	 + 0.10b
5. C					

Means and SE followed by a common letter within a column do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

*Data not amenable to ANOVA (see text

Figure 1. Structure, alternative names, and toxicity (mg/kg) of the active ingredient in each preemergence herbicide.



DACTHAL (DCPA)

dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate

Oral LD<mark>50>3000</mark> Dermal LD<mark>50</mark>>10000

О ∙NHĊN(CH₃)₂ C

KARMEX (Diuron)

3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)- 1-dimethy Oral $U_{50} = 3400$

NO2 CF2 N(C3H7)2

TREFLAN (Trifluralin)

a.a.a-trifluoro-2.6-dinitro N.N-dipropyl-para-toluidine

0ral L0**50 > 10000**